Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket 02-50, XO Communications, Inc

My names is Megan McDermott, I am commenting on the pending FCC hearing for the transfer of FCC licenses from Craig McCaw and share holders of XO Communications.

This page provides information on applications filed by XO Communications, Inc. pursuant to sections 214 and 310(d) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, seeking Commission approval of the proposed transfer of control of certain Commission licenses and authorizations held by Craig O. McCaw and the existing shareholders of XO to the new shareholders of XO, which will include, as 10 percent or greater shareholders, Forstmann Little & Co. Equity Partnership-VII, L.P. and Forstmann Little & Co. Subordinated Debt and Equity Management Buyout Partnership-VIII, L.P., and Teninver, S.A. de C.V., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Teléfonos de México, S.A. de C.V. Specifically, the licenses and authorizations to be transferred include licenses and authorizations held by XO and its subsidiaries to provide domestic and international telecommunications services pursuant to parts 63, 90, and 101 of the Commission's rules. In addition, the Applicant seeks a declaratory ruling pursuant to section 310(b)(4) of the Act, that it will not serve the public interest to prohibit indirect foreign ownership of Ox's wireless licenses in excess of the statutory 25 percent foreign ownership benchmark by Telmex and a general partner of Forstmann Little, Gordon A. Holmes, a citizen of the Republic of Ireland

Background:

I am a high school senior, 17 years old and looking foreword to attending college.

I have enrolled in the U.S Navy ROTC program and looking foreword to serving my country.

I became involved with my sister in what was originally her school project. Her project ended up involving a "lot" of individuals from Professors, students, & community members to computer security specialist & individuals working on their doctorates. While some had no financial interest in Xo communications others did. We pooled our resources and everyone did their share of work in research and covering news and Internet posting & message boards.

My comments are my own and in no means represent anyone other than myself. I write this out of a feeling of frustration, a cry if you will, for someone to help.

My first response to the "midnight massacre" of which suddenly and without notice stopped all trading on the NASDAQ was shock. Why would Xo officials release news in a way that would immediately close trading, and then fail to furnish the information to the SEC to reopen the trading? Instead they de-listed themselves, opened on the OTCBB and diluted the stock even further by some 1 million shares. In order for you to gain insight to the answer I would request you to look at the pleadings that are online in the numerous lawsuits. Along with the following comments I would be glad to furnish the links if you have trouble finding them. You have my email address.

My Hope:

My hope of an investigation into this matter has gradually faded. In reading the daily news other companies are being investigated, but even after XO communication reveals that they have given large bonuses – insider loans – pumped both XO & MCLD earnings with "unlit Fiber" & Controlled the BOD of XO & MCLD in quote unquote "UNBIASED" Merger approval with TELMEX, NADA = NOT A DAM AGENCY has investigated.

Why:
I am asking why?
Is it because of Mr. Forstmann ties to? Mr. Bush Mr. Chaney Mr. Powell Mr. Rumsfeld Mr. Pitt
The list goes on forever! Source = GOOGLE.com
What is in "THE PUBLIC INTEREST"
That my friend is simple" don't gun them down with Mr. Forstmanns "Mafia"
Sincerely,
Megan McDermott.